From: william yule

**Sent:** 06 November 2018 20:06 **To:** Northampton Gateway

Subject: Proposed Roxhill Development

Ref No 20010277

I would like to elaborate on the points I raised previously.

<u>Pollution.</u> I have always been worried about the high levels of air pollution and the effect it has on the health of people, particularly children, living in areas like ours where levels are high, especially as my wife However, the recent research by Kings College, London and Canadian studies which show that that air pollution increases the likelihood of dementia, makes further raising the already high levels in Collingtree totally unacceptable.

The traffic noise from the M1 was high this Sunday morning but I could still hear clearly the shots from the pheasant shooting on the other side of the motorway. To claim that the current motorway noise will mask the additional noise from a rail terminable is laughable. <a href="Traffic">Traffic</a>. I understand that the modelling of traffic numbers has not taken into account all the additional traffic which is being generated in our area e.g. traffic for the Univ of Northampton, all the extra housing and warehousing which has been approved etc. I hope that independent modelling will be undertaken rather than relying on figures produced by the applicant.

The M1 and A45 already suffer from traffic delays and I doubt that the alterations proposed to Junction 15 will be sufficient to cope with all the additional traffic generated from Roxhill and other developments.

<u>Planning</u> What is the point of producing a plan for the area where Roxhill have applied to build a rail terminal, which explicitly states that no developments should take place in that area if that Plan is ignored.

Additionally, I do not believe that Roxhill have made the case for the Rail Terminal at the Collingtree site being a 'strategic development required in the national interest.' The terminal at Dirft, a few miles up the road from Roxhill's proposed site, is underutilised and has 730,000 sq ft of rail connected warehouse space available now. On top of that, they have room for expansion which would avoid using >500 acres of prime farming land for warehousing.

Finally, I understand that there is insufficient capacity in the existing railway tracks to cope with additional traffic.

For all the above reasons, I plead with you not to allow the Roxhill Northampton Gateway SRFI proposals to go ahead

Regards

William B Yule

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit <a href="http://www.symanteccloud.com">http://www.symanteccloud.com</a>